



From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# FORM 1

# **Documentation of BLM Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Findings from Previous Inventory on Record**

1. Is there existing BLM wilderness inventory information on all or part of this area?
<b>No</b> $\boxtimes$ (go to Form 2) <b>Yes</b> $\square$ (If yes, and if more than one area is within the area, list the unique identifiers of those areas):
a) Inventory Source: N/A
b) Inventory Area Name(s)/Number(s): N/A
c) Map Name(s)/Number(s): N/A
d) BLM District(s)/Field Office(s): N/A

# 2. BLM Inventory Finds on Record:

Existing inventory information regarding wilderness characteristics (if more than one BLM inventory area is associated with the area, list each area and answer each question individually for each inventory area):

Inventory Source: N/A

Area #/Name	Sufficient Size? Yes/No (acres)	Naturalness? Yes/No	Outstanding Solitude? Yes/No	Outstanding Primitive & Unconfined Recreation? Yes/No	Supplemental Values? Yes/No

Field Office: Little Snake Unit: 351





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# FORM 2

# **Current Conditions: Presence or Absence of Wilderness Characteristics**

Area Number/Name: Little Snake Unit 351	Acreage: 9,762 acres
(1) Is the area of sufficient size? (If the area meets one of check "Yes" and describe the exception in the space provi	*
Yes 🖂 No 🗌	
Note: If "No" is checked the area does not have wildernes remaining questions below.	s characteristics; check "NA" for the
Description (describe the boundaries of the area—wildern etc.):	ess inventory roads, property lines,
The western boundary of the unit is BLM Road 2078E; the Road 66N; the eastern boundary is Moffat County Road 7 BLM Road 2078. Unit is entirely BLM public lands.	•
(2) Does the area appear to be natural?	
Yes No No N/A	
Note: If "No" is checked the area does not have wildernes remaining questions below.	s characteristics; check "NA" for the
Description (include land ownership, location, topography human uses/activities):	, vegetation, and summary of major
Located in Township 9 North, Range 97 and 98 West; and (Ute Meridian) Unit 351 exists in Moffat County in an are A short ridge bounds the northern part of the unit. The ve sagebrush with small amounts of pinyon-juniper forest coslopes. Vegetation does not impede vehicle or foot travel including camping, hiking, jeep/OHV use, cycling, huntin	ea of flat to moderate relief rolling hills. getation cover is predominantly short ver to the north on higher elevation. Current land use is recreational,
Existing routes are used for recreational purposes. Seven refound to meet the definitions of Roads for Wilderness Investigation	
(3) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have	

Field Office: Little Snake Unit: 351





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Yes No No N/A
Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for solitude): Moderate relief of rolling slopes in the northern part of the unit isolate the area from human activity. The reminder of the unit is fairly flat but still feels isolated from human activity. No evidence of human activity was recorded. Landscape was very quiet despite its east of access from Moffat County Road 75.
(4) Does the area (or the remainder of the area if a portion has been excluded due to unnaturalness and the remainder is of sufficient size) have outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation?
Yes No No N/A
Note: If "No" is checked for both 3 and 4 the area does not have wilderness characteristics; check "NA" for question 5.
Description (describe the area's outstanding opportunities for primitive and unconfined recreation):  Moderate views and topography are suitable for hiking, camping, hunting, mountain biking, and other recreational activities.
(5) Does the area have supplemental values (ecological, geological, or other features of scientific, educational scenic or historical value)?
Yes No No N/A
Description: The area has moderate scenic value toward the north end of the unit. Expansive and canyon-like views in the north are visually pleasing.

Field Office: Little Snake Unit: 351





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

### **Summary of Analysis**

Area Name and/or Number: Little Snake Unit 351

### **Summary**

(Note: explain the inventory findings for the entirety of the inventory unit. When wilderness characteristics have been identified in an area that is smaller than the size of the total inventory unit, explain why certain portions of the inventory unit are not included within the lands with wilderness characteristics (e.g. the inventory found that certain parts lacked naturalness).

### Results of analysis:

Unit 351 exists in Moffat County in an area of flat to moderate relief rolling hills. A short ridge bounds the northern part of the unit. The vegetation cover is predominantly short sagebrush with small amounts of pinyon-juniper forest cover to the north on higher elevation slopes. Vegetation does not impede vehicle or foot travel. Current land use is recreational, including camping, hiking, jeep/OHV use, cycling, hunting, and wildlife observation.

Existing routes are used for recreational purposes. Seven routes were surveyed, and none were found to meet the definitions of Roads for Wilderness Inventory.

Moderate relief of rolling slopes in the northern part of the unit isolate the area from outside human activity. The reminder of the unit is fairly flat but still feels isolated from human activity. Landscape was very quiet despite its east of access from Moffat County Road 75. Moderate views and topography are suitable for hiking, camping, hunting, mountain biking, and other recreational activities

1.	Does the area meet any of the size requirements?	?⊠ Yes □ No
2.	Does the area appear to be natural?	∑ Yes ☐ No ☐ N/A
	Does the area offer outstanding opportunities of recreation?	solitude or a primitive and unconfined type Yes No No N/A
4.	Does the area have supplemental values?	Yes No No N/A

Field Office: Little Snake Unit: 351





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Check one:		
$\boxtimes$ The area, or a portion of the area, has wilderness characteristics and is identified as Land with Wilderness Characteristics (LWC).		
☐ The area does not have wilderness c	haracteristics.	
Prepared by (team members):		
Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/25/2012
(Name, Title, Date)  Reviewed by (District of Field Manag	er):	
Name:	Title:	
Date:		

Field Office: Little Snake

Unit: 351





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

#### ROUTE ANALYSIS

	ROULLMALIDID
	rness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351 or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 01
<b>I.</b> photo	<b>LOCATION:</b> Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:
	ibe: Route branches northward off of BLM Road 2078 near the southeast corner of the site. tached photo log.
II.	ROUTE CONTEXT
Conce	A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site entrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), mistrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).
Descri (camp	ibe: Route currently leads to range improvement (berm and pond) and concentrated use site site).
	B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
	1. Is there a ROW associated with this route? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown ☒
	2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
	3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown or N/A ☒
	Explain:
III.	WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA
	A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means? Yes ☐ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ☒ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction. Route is two-track with sage growing in the median.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \Boxed No \Boxed If "yes": by Hand Tools \Boxed by Machine \Boxed
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes ☐ No ☒ If "yes": by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☐
Explain: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \omegas
Explain: Route is partially naturally reclaimed. No current practical use observed.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

Several sets of tire tracks observed. Route may see heavy seasonal use for hunting and camping (5-10 trips per year).



Nicole Peters



9/25/2012

U.S Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet th III.A and III.B and III.C all checked ye		lderness inventory ro	ad (i.e., are items
Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes		No $\boxtimes$ = Not a road	for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as describ	ed above.		
<b>Evaluator(s):</b>			
Name	Title		Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologis	t	9/25/2012

AECOM Natural Resource Specialist





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS
Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 02
I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:
Describe: Route branches north/northeast off of BLM Road 2078 on the southern half of the unit continues northeast in a straight line across the bulk of the unit, then connects with an east/west-oriented route that bisects the unit. See attached photo log.
II. ROUTE CONTEXT
A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).
Describe: No current purpose was observed.
B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
<ol> <li>Is there a ROW associated with this route?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown ☒</li> </ol>
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown or N/A ☐
Explain:
III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA
A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means? Yes $\square$ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\square$ (if both A.1 and A.2 are

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes $\square$ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both A.1 and A.2 are
checked "no" below)





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

constructed using mechanical means?) Yes \(\subseteq\) No \(\subseteq\)
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \text{ if "yes": by Hand Tools } \( \subseteq \text{ by Machine} \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: While the route does not show any physical signs of construction/improvements (no brush clearing or grading), the route is very straight, suggesting it was at least surveyed.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes ☐ No ☒ If "yes": by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☐
Explain: The two-track is not drivable at all washed-out ravines, and large section of the route are naturally reclaimed by vegetation cover.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \times
Explain: Route serves no clear practical purpose.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and

Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a *relatively* regular basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).





9/25/2012

U.S Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 - Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Tire tracks were only observed on the northern and southern terminus of the route within 200 yards of another improved road. The route is washed out (impassable) and overgrown in several locations.

Nicole Peters

IV. CONCLUSION:		
Does the route or route segment meet th III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes	ne definition of a wilderness inventory ros)?	oad (i.e., are items
Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes	No $\boxtimes$ = Not a road	for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as describ	ed above.	
Evaluator(s):		
Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012

**AECOM Natural Resource Specialist** 



checked "no" below)



From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS
Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 03
I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:
Describe: Route 03 is a two-track route branching off western boundary road BLM Road 2078E in an east/west direction. Route drops down into Dugout Draw where it disappears. See attached photo log.
II. ROUTE CONTEXT
A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).
Describe: No current purpose other than for accessing BLM lands. No specific uses were observed besides ATV tracks.
B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
<ol> <li>Is there a ROW associated with this route?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown ☐</li> </ol>
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown or N/A ☒
Explain:
III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA
A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means? Yes $\square$ (if either A.1 <i>or</i> A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both A.1 <i>and</i> A.2 are





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \text{ if "yes": by Hand Tools } \( \subseteq \text{ by Machine } \subseteq \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes ☐ No ☒ If "yes": by Hand Tools ☐ by Machine ☐
Explain: No evidence of maintenance other than by vehicle/ATV use.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \subseteq
Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular

Route shows evidence of multiple ATV tracks; likely receives moderate use (greater than 5-10 times per year).

basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. **CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a w III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?	vilderness inventory road (i.e., are items
Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes	No ⊠ = Not a road for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.	
Evaluator(s):	

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/25/2012



checked "no" below)



From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS
Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 04
I. LOCATION: Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:
Describe: Route 04 is a two-track "U"-shaped route with both ends branching off of northern boundary road Moffat County Road 66N. It is a short route and does not extend from the boundary road extensively. See attached photo log.
II. ROUTE CONTEXT
A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).
Describe: There is a concentrated use site at the terminus of the route, but it receives rare use. No other practical uses are evident.
B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
<ol> <li>Is there a ROW associated with this route?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown ☐</li> </ol>
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown or N/A ☒
Explain:
III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA
A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means? Yes $\square$ (if either A.1 <i>or</i> A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both A.1 <i>and</i> A.2 are





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \text{ if "yes": by Hand Tools } \subseteq \text{ by Machine } \subseteq \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \) If "yes": by Hand Tools \( \subseteq \) by Machine \( \subseteq \)
Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance; route receives very rare use.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \subseteq
Explain: Route serves no practical purpose.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular

No evidence of tire tracks was present. Route likely receives very rare use (less than two times per year). Some sage has grown in between two-tracks.

basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### IV. **CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of a w III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?	vilderness inventory road (i.e., are items
Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes	No ⊠ = Not a road for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.	
Evaluator(s):	

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/25/2012





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

#### WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

#### **ROUTE ANALYSIS**

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351	
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 05	

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 05 branches off of northern boundary road Moffat County Road 66N. Route is two-track and runs north/south in general but has some intertwining segments leading to concentrated use sites. Route also leads to a small bermed pond a short distance away. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: A berm/cattle pond and multiple concentrated use sites were observed along the route. No sign of current use for cattle grazing was observed. It is likely used for recreational purposes only (camping, hunting, wildlife observation).

B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
<ol> <li>Is there a ROW associated with this route?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown ☐</li> </ol>
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \text{Unknown or } \text{N/A} \( \subseteq \)
Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?





Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)
Yes $\boxtimes$ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\square$ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: Route was possibly bladed initially, but it is no longer maintained. Some sections do not show evidence of any mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No } \subseteq \text{ If "yes": by Hand Tools } \subseteq \text{ by Machine } \subseteq \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 <i>or</i> B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 <i>and</i> B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes \[ \] No \[ \] If "yes": by Hand Tools \[ \] by Machine \[ \]
Explain: No evidence of current mechanical maintenance.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes $\square$ No $\boxtimes$
Explain: Route serves no current purpose besides access to concentrated use sites.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular

basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands





9/25/2012

U.S Department of the Interior Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

Two-track route has evidence of multiple sets of tire tracks/OHV use and most likely receives moderate use (greater than 10 times per year). Multiple concentrated use sites are apparent.

### IV. CONCLUSION:

Nicole Peters

iv. conceesion.				
Does the route or route segment III.A and III.B and III.C all ch		vilderness inventory road (i.e., are items		
Yes ☐ = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes		No $\boxtimes$ = Not a road for wilderness		
Explanation: Criteria not met a	as described above.			
<b>Evaluator</b> (s):				
Name	Title	Date		
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologi	st 9/25/2012		

**AECOM Natural Resource Specialist** 





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

# WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

ROUTE ANALYSIS
Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351 Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 06
<b>I. LOCATION:</b> Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:
Describe: Route 06 branches off of northern boundary road Moffat County Road 66N in a north/south direction and connects with western boundary road BLM Road 2078E. Route is a relatively short two-track and bypasses the northwest corner of the unit. See attached photo log.
II. ROUTE CONTEXT
A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).
Describe: Route serves no current purpose other than to bypass the northwest corner of the unit (possible shortcut). Also may be used for recreational uses, such as camping, hiking, wildlife observation or hunting.
B. Right-of-Way (ROW):
<ol> <li>Is there a ROW associated with this route?</li> <li>Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown ☐</li> </ol>
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes ☐ No ☐ Unknown or N/A ☒

# III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

Explain:

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?
Yes ☐ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No ☒ (if both A.1 and A.2 are
checked "no" below)





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \)
Examples: Paved  Bladed  Graveled  Roadside Berms  Cut/Fill  Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \text{ if "yes": by Hand Tools } \( \subseteq \text{ by Machine } \subseteq \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \) If "yes": by Hand Tools \( \subseteq \) by Machine \( \subseteq \)
Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance. Sagebrush is growing in the middle of two-tracks.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \omegas
Explain: Route serves no current purpose other than for recreational activities.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes $\boxtimes$ No $\square$
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular

basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

This two-track route had evidence of several sets of tire tracks. Use is likely seasonal, mostly used to access BLM lands during hunting season; estimate 5-20 trips annually.

#### IV. **CONCLUSION:**

Does the route or route segment meet the definition III.A and III.B and III.C all checked yes)?	of a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items
Yes = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes	No $\boxtimes$ = Not a road for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.	
Evaluator(s):	

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/25/2012





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

### WILDERNESS CHARACTERISTICS INVENTORY

#### **ROUTE ANALYSIS**

Wilderness Characteristics Inventory Area Unique Identifier: Little Snake Unit 351	
Route or Route Segment Name and/or Identifier: Route 07	

**I. LOCATION:** Refer to attached map GIS data and BLM corporate data (GIS). List photo point references (where applicable) or reference attached photo log:

Describe: Route 07 is a two-track route running approximately northwest/southeast branching off of Moffat County Road 75. It extends in a fairly straight manner out to Dugout Draw. It travels through a couple of small washes, one of which is impassable by large vehicles. See attached photo log.

### II. ROUTE CONTEXT

D. Dialet of War (DOW).

A. Current Purpose (if any) of Route: (Examples Rangeland/Livestock Improvements (stock tank, developed spring, reservoir, fence, corral), Inholdings (ranch, farmhouse), Mine Site, Concentrated Use Site (camp site), Recreation, Utilities (transmission line, telephone, pipeline), Administrative (project maintenance, communication site, vegetation treatment)).

Describe: Current purpose of the route is to provide access to BLM wilderness/interior of the unit; possibly used for recreational purposes, such as camping, hunting, mountain biking, OHV use, etc.

b. Right-of-way (ROW):
1. Is there a ROW associated with this route? Yes ☐ No ☒ Unknown ☐
2. If yes, what is the stated purpose of the ROW?
3. Is the ROW still being used for this purpose? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \subseteq \text{Unknown or N/A} \( \subseteq \)
Explain:

### III. WILDERNESS INVENTORY ROAD CRITERIA

A. Evidence of construction or improvement using mechanical means?





Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)
Yes $\square$ (if either A.1 or A.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both A.1 and A.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Construction: (Is there evidence that the route or route segment was originally constructed using mechanical means?) Yes ☐ No ☒
Examples: Paved   Bladed   Graveled   Roadside Berms   Cut/Fill   Other
Describe: No evidence of mechanical construction.
2. Improvements: (Is there evidence of improvements using mechanical means to facilitate access?) Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \text{ if "yes": by Hand Tools } \subseteq \text{ by Machine } \subseteq \)
Examples: Culverts
Describe: No evidence of improvements.
B. Maintenance: (Is there evidence of maintenance that would ensure <i>relatively</i> regular and continuous use?): Yes $\square$ (if either B.1 or B.2 is checked "yes" below) No $\boxtimes$ (if both B.1 and B.2 are checked "no" below)
1. Is there Evidence or Documentation of Maintenance using hand tools or machinery? Yes \( \subseteq \text{No} \( \subseteq \) If "yes": by Hand Tools \( \subseteq \) by Machine \( \subseteq \)
Explain: No evidence of mechanical maintenance. Route is in fairly poor and rocky condition. It is difficult to follow the route in some places.
2. If the route or route segment is in good condition, but there is no evidence of maintenance, would mechanical maintenance with hand tools or machines be approved by BLM to meet the purpose(s) of the route in the event this route became impassable? Yes \sum No \infty
Explain: The route serves no current purpose and only receives little to moderate use.
C. Relatively regular and continuous use: (Does the route or route segment ensure relatively regular and continuous use?) Yes \sum No \subseteq
Describe evidence (e.g., direct, vehicles or vehicle tracks observed, or indirect, evidence of use associated with purpose of the route such as maintenance of facility that route accesses) and other rationale for whether use has occurred and will continue to occur on a <i>relatively</i> regular

basis (i.e., regular and continuous use relative to the purpose(s) of the route).

From BLM Manual 6310 - Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands





From BLM Manual 6310 – Conduction Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

No evidence of fresh tire tracks, but tire ruts were present. This suggests some use, but it is unclear to what extent; perhaps seasonally used but hard to tell because of the rocky nature of the route.

# IV. CONCLUSION:

Does the route or route segment meet the definition of III.A <i>and</i> III.B <i>and</i> III.C all checked yes)?	a wilderness inventory road (i.e., are items
Yes  = Wilderness Inventory Road inventory purposes	No $\boxtimes$ = Not a road for wilderness
Explanation: Criteria not met as described above.	
Evaluator(s):	

Name	Title	Date
Jennifer Ashlin	AECOM Geologist	9/25/2012
Nicole Peters	AECOM Natural Resource Specialist	9/25/2012



# **PHOTO LOG**



Field Office: LITTLE SNAKE COLORADO

**Unit:** 351

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 - Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

	T		I			
		Photo				
Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
			12N 4509076.6794N	_		
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_001_N	N	726774.372E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.33
			12N 4508897.6208N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_002_N	N	724653.6392E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.35
			12N 4508703.3713N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_003_NE	NE	722330.1224E	TWN009N	RNG098W	Sec.34
			12N 4509917.2532N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_004_E	E	723041.8066E	TWN009N	RNG098W	Sec.25
			12N 4511983.4711N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_005_E	E	723774.9129E	TWN009N	RNG098W	Sec.19
			12N 4513687.9428N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_006_E	E	725195.8348E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.16
			12N 4514606.112N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_007_N	N	726820.7232E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.7
			12N 4514612.439N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_008_S	S	726829.2954E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.7
			12N 4515344.6332N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_009_NW	NW	728093.751E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.10
			12N 4515337.6556N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_010_SW	SW	728084.0089E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.10
			12N 4517318.449N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_011_NW	NW	728492.0326E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.3
			12N 4517326.3967N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_012_SW	sw	728496.9378E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.3
			12N 4519988.8965N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_013_S	s	729517.1472E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.27
<u> </u>			12N 4520848.9357N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_014_S	s	728040.3336E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.22
	<del></del>		12N 4520800.4692N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351_015_S	s	727723.4147E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.22
<u> </u>	<del></del>		12N 4521658.7033N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351 016 E	E	725913.992E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.19
·	<del></del>		12N 4519550.4693N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351 017 E	E	726335.1623E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.29
·	<del></del>		12N 4515902.8964N			
/25/2012	OP1ALS351 018 E	E	725735.948E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.5
,	1 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 11 1		12N 4510538.6361N	1 22.1	1	
/25/2012	OP1ALS351 019 W	W	727177.9396E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.29
/25/2012 /25/2012 /25/2012 /25/2012	OP1ALS351_016_E  OP1ALS351_017_E  OP1ALS351_018_E  OP1ALS351_019_W	E E E	725913.992E 12N 4519550.4693N 726335.1623E 12N 4515902.8964N 725735.948E 12N 4510538.6361N	TWN009N TWN009N	RNG097W	V V

Continued on Next Page

# PHOTO LOG (Continued)

Field Office:	LITTLE SNAKE	COLORADO
Unit:	351	

U.S Department of the Interior

Bureau of Land Management

From BLM Manual 6310 – Conducting Wilderness Characteristics Inventory on BLM Lands

Manual Version 6-129 (03/15/2012)

		Photo				
Date	Photo Name/Frame #:	Direction	UTM	Township	Range	Section
			12N 4510544.1616N			
9/25/2012	OP1ALS351_020_NW	NW	727174.3248E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.29
			12N 4509466.2646N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_001_S	S	726688.5666E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.29
			12N 4509808.9125N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_002_N	N	725549.3526E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.27
			12N 4513977.1855N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_003_S	S	725640.7132E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.16
			12N 4520013.3248N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_004_S	S	729314.5951E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.27
			12N 4521027.0312N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_005_N	N	726652.5974E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.19
			12N 4521677.3999N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_006_S	S	725920.4969E	TWN010N	RNG097W	Sec.19
			12N 4512116.9858N			
9/25/2012	RP1ALS351_007_NW	NW	727739.5147E	TWN009N	RNG097W	Sec.24